Document 42

Filed 07/15/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:08-cr-01322-JAH

1 2 3 4	MARTHA M. HALL DiIORIO & HALL, APC California Bar No. 138018 964 Fifth Avenue, Suite 214 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone No. (619) 544-1451 Facsimile No. (619) 544-1473
5	Attorneys for Defendant Madrigal
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	(RUBEN L. BROOKS)
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal No. 08-CR-1322-JAH
11	Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF COUNSEL
12	$\left\{ \mathbf{v}_{\cdot}\right\}$
13	REYMUNDO MADRIGAL,
14	Defendant.
15 16 17	State of California,) ss. County of San Diego,)
18	I, Martha M. Hall, do hereby declare and say:
19	1. I am the attorney of record for defendant Reymundo Madrigal in <u>United States v</u>
20	Madrigal, 08-CR-1322-JAH;
21	2. I was informed by U.S. Pre-Trial Services Officer Ruiz that I need a formal cour
22	order authorizing the release of various the urine samples taken from Mr. Madrigal which I wish
23	to re-test for the proceedings on the OSC (see Exhibit A);
24	3. On July 15, 2008, I located San Diego Reference Laboratory, a San Diego
25	company that tests urine and blood. I spoke to Michelle Kimberly in the Legal Services
26	department at San Diego Reference Laboratory, 6122 Nancy ridge Dr., San Diego, CA 92121
27	regarding the procedures and fees for re-testing a disputed urine sample (see Exhibit B);
28	

5. Probation Officer Ruiz provided me with the standard letter regarding the contents of the order. I agree with all the form requirements except the requirement that the test results be sent by the drug testing facility directly to Pre-Trial Services. Since this is a defense re-test, being paid for by the defense and part of Mr. Madrigal's right to prepare for and make decisions about the hearing on the OSC, his "expert should not be required to provide the results directly to the Pre-Trial Officer unless and until he decides to use the tests results at a hearing. Indeed, defense experts are deemed to be part of the "defense team" and therefore covered by the attorney/client privilege. To require the defense expert to report directly to an arm of the government violates that privilege and the defendant's right, under the Due Process Clause and the Criminal Justice Act, to present a defense, including obtaining expert assistance.

DiIORIO & HALL, APC Attorneys for Mr. Madrigal

I sign this declaration under penalty of perjury.

Date: July 15, 2008